UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,385	04/13/2006	Marcus A. Horwitz	51326-00019	8534
45200 K&L Gates LLI	7590 04/29/200 <b>P</b>	9	EXAMINER	
	REET, SUITE 600		NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK	
IRVINE, CA 92614-7319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,385	HORWITZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark Navarro	1645		

	Walk Havailo	1046	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	PR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to capplication, applicant must timely file one of the follow application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affid Appeal (with appeal fee) in complian	avit, or other evidence, which places the ce with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	е
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m	ailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a	oire later than SIX MONTHS from the ma i) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	iling date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	date on which the petition under 37 CFR of extension and the corresponding amouthe shortened statutory period for reply clater than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c	ompliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must l	oe filed within two months of the date of	F
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejecti</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require furthe</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE)</li> </ol>	r consideration and/or search (see N		
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application ir appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by materially		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelin NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33)		rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejectio		,	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	Э
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-30,32 and 41-46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			ł
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of file entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily</li> </ol>	to overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fails to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attached.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	d but does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowance because:	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/Mark Navarro/		
	Primary Examiner, Ar	t Unit 1645	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants assert on page 6 of their response that the protein referred to in the Orme reference "could only have been the 30 kDa Ag85B (fbpB) protein and not the 32 kDa Ag85A (fbpA) protein." However, Applicants arguments teach the limitations of the claim. Claim 27 recites a Mycobacterium tuberculosis 30 kDa protein, this corresponds to Applicants arguments that Orme could only have been talking about the 30 kDa protein. Furthermore, any attempt to distinguish between the Ag85A and the Ag85B protein is irrelevant, since the claim does not recite any limitation concerning these different antigens, rather only a molceular weight. Applicants arguments for the 103 rejection are essentially the same, and have been addressed accordingly. Consequently, these rejections are maintained for reasons of record.